

# PATELLAR HEIGHT: COMPARISON OF MEASUREMENT TECHNIQUES AND CORRELATION WITH OTHER PATHOANATOMIC MEASURES OF PATELLAR INSTABILITY

Sheeba M. Joseph, MD, MS<sup>1</sup>, Christopher Cheng, BS<sup>2</sup>, Matthew J. Solomito, PhD<sup>3</sup>, J. Lee Pace, MD<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Michigan State University, Department of Orthopaedics, East Lansing, MI, <sup>2</sup>University of Connecticut School of Medicine, Farmington, CT, <sup>3</sup>Elite Sports Medicine, Connecticut Children's Medical Center, Farmington, CT

## BACKGROUND

- Patellar instability (PI) can be a debilitating knee condition for children and adolescents. Excessive patellar height (PH), also called patella alta, is a described anatomic risk factor for PI
- Caton-Deschamps (CD) ratio is widely used to quantify PH across all age groups
- Patellotrochlear index (PTI) also quantifies PH by measuring patellar and trochlear chondral overlap on sagittal MRI images. It is proposed as a more accurate representation of clinically meaningful PH

## OBJECTIVES

- Evaluate inter- and intra-rater reliability of CD ratio and PTI in a cohort of children and adolescent patients with PI
- Compare patella alta classification of PH based on CD ratio and PTI in the same cohort of PI patients
- Evaluate correlation between PTI and lateral trochlear inclination (LTI) and lateral patellar inclination (LPI) – two additional risk factor measurements of PI

## RESULTS

|  | PTI vs CD   | PTI vs LTI  | PTI vs LPI  | CD vs LTI   | CD vs LPI   |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| <b>Average 1<sup>st</sup> Variable</b> | 41.8 ± 17.6 | 41.8 ± 17.6 | 41.8 ± 17.6 | 1.3 ± 0.3   | 1.3 ± 0.3   |
| <b>Average 2<sup>nd</sup> Variable</b> | 1.3 ± 0.3   | 4.2 ± 11.9° | 19.6 ± 9.4° | 4.2 ± 11.9° | 19.6 ± 9.4° |
| <b>r</b>                               | -0.30       | -0.013      | 0.092       | 0.19        | 0.162       |
| <b>β</b>                               | -0.004      | ---         | ---         | ---         | ---         |
| <b>p</b>                               | 0.016       | 0.91        | 0.47        | 0.14        | 0.20        |

- 65 patients met inclusion criteria
- PTI measurements had less variability and near perfect agreement between observers and within observers
- CD ratio had moderate agreement between observers and high agreement within observers
- 71% of patients had an LTI <11° classifying them as having trochlear dysplasia
- 73% of patients had an LPI >13.5° classifying them as having excessive patellar tilt

## METHODS AND MATERIALS

- Patient cohort: aged 9 – 18 years, treated for PI between 2014 – 2017
- CD ratio measured on lateral radiographs, with patella alta defined as >1.2
- PTI measured on sagittal MRI images (Figure 1), with patella alta defined as <12.5%
- LTI and LPI measured on axial MRI
- Two independent observers for all measurements in the entire cohort
  - Regression analyses performed on entire cohort
- Three independent observers for a randomly selected cohort of 30 patients for reliability analysis

**Figure 1.** Patellotrochlear index (PTI). The sagittal image with the longest section of the patella and the thickest patellar cartilage is selected. The length of the patellar chondral surface is measured – here it is 32.6 mm. Then the length of the trochlea overlapping with the patellar chondral surface is measured in parallel by referencing a perpendicular subtended from a patellar chondral surface measurement – here the length of overlapping trochlear chondral surface is 12.1 mm. The PTI is calculated with dividing the trochlear chondral length by the patellar chondral length and converting to a percentage:  $(12.1 / 32.6) \times 100 = 37\%$



## CONCLUSIONS

- PTI was a more reliable and more reproducible measure of patellar height than CD ratio
- PTI categorized a smaller proportion of this patellar instability cohort of patients as having patella alta than did CD ratio
- A small, but weak, correlation was found between PTI and CD ratio
- No significant correlation was found between PTI or CD ratio with LTI or LPI, despite the majority of patients in the cohort having these risk factors for patellar instability – trochlear dysplasia and excessive patellar tilt
- The role of patellar height in patellar instability warrants renewed investigation to determine what is clinically significant patella alta

|                 | Interrater ICC (95%CI)       | Within Rater ICC (95% CI)   | Average     |
|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| <b>PTI</b>      | <b>0.92</b><br>(0.83-0.96)   | <b>0.98</b><br>(0.97-0.99)  | 41.8 ± 17.6 |
| <b>CD ratio</b> | <b>0.62</b><br>(0.34-0.8)    | <b>0.817</b><br>(0.65-0.91) | 1.3 ± 0.3   |
| <b>LTI</b>      | <b>0.97</b><br>(0.88 – 0.97) | <b>0.96</b><br>(0.91-0.98)  | 4.2 ± 11.9° |
| <b>LPI</b>      | <b>0.89</b><br>(0.77 – 0.95) | <b>0.98</b><br>(0.95-0.99)  | 19.6 ± 9.4° |

| CD ratio Classification |        | PTI Classification      |        |
|-------------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|
| CD > 1.2 (i.e. alta)    | 62.5 % | PTI < 12.5% (i.e. alta) | 3.1 %  |
| CD < 0.8 (i.e. baja)    | 4.6 %  | PTI > 50% (i.e. baja)   | 32.8 % |