ATSU

Using the Health Belief Model to Predict Concussion Reporting Intentions and Behavior

Athletic Training Programs

Michelle L. Weber Rawlins¹, Jennifer Gay², Laura Bierema², Cailee E. Welch Bacon¹, Julianne D. Schmidt²

¹A.T. Still University, Mesa, AZ, ²University of Georgia, Athens, GA



Introduction

- Historically, the Theory of Planned Behavior has been used to examine concussion reporting behavior.^{1,2,3}
- However, the theory does not account for factors such as an individual's perceived susceptibility, or barriers to reporting their injury, which are included in the Health Belief Model (HBM).⁴
- Therefore, the HBM may be more appropriate in understanding and gaining insight into why individuals report or conceal a concussion.
- The purpose of this study was to determine whether constructs of the HBM predict:
- Concussion reporting intentions (symptom and concussion reporting) and,
- Behavior (symptom and concussion reporting)

Methods

- · We recruited student-athletes from three universities in the Southeast.
- The HBM survey includes the following sections:
- Knowledge
- Perceived susceptibility
- Perceived severity
- Perceived benefits of taking action
- Barriers to taking action
- Cues to action
- We also asked participants to complete surveys regarding:
- Concussion reporting intentions (symptom and concussion reporting)
- Behavior (symptom and concussion reporting)
- The HBM sections and intentions were averaged.
- Responses to both symptom and concussion reporting behavior were used to categorize participants as "reporters" or "non-reporters".
- We conducted four separate multivariate regression analyses for HBM sections to predict:
 - Concussion reporting intentions
 - Two linear-symptom and concussion reporting
- Concussion reporting behavior
- Two logistic-symptom and concussion reporting

Funding for this project was provided by the National Collegiate Athletic Association and the U.S. Department of Defense, and was secured by the University of Georgia.

Results

- We had a survey completion rate of 27.9%
 - n=330/1183
 - Age=19.9±1.54 years
 - Males: 34.8%, n=115/330
 - Females: 65.2%, n=215/330
- We found the HBM predicted both symptom (F_{7,318}=4.44, p<0.001, R²=0.089) and concussion reporting intentions (F_{7,318}=11.34, p<0.001, R²=0.200) (Table 1).
- A one-point increase in cues to action increased symptom reporting intentions by 0.25 (β=0.25, p=0.016).
- Additionally, a one-point increase in perceived benefits (β=0.12, p=0.018), perceived barriers (β=-0.11, p=0.034), and cues to action (β=0.29, p<0.001) resulted in a 0.12 increase, 0.11 decrease, and 0.29 increase in concussion reporting intentions, respectively.
- The HBM did not predict symptom (X²=5.51, p=0.138, Nagelkerke R²=0.096) or concussion reporting behavior (X²=5.20, p=0.157, Nagelkerke R²=0.159) (Table 2).

Table 1. Health Belief Model multivariable enter linear regression analysis for symptom and concussion reporting intentions.								
Criterion Variables	Predictor Variables	Estimates	Standard Error	P-Value				
Symptom Reporting Intentions (F7,318=4.44, p<0.001, R ² =0.089)								
	Constant	3.50	0.94	0.000				
	Survey Administration Mode, Educational Module	-0.20	0.15	0.183				
	Knowledge	-0.06	0.13	0.625				
	Perceived Susceptibility	0.07	0.06	0.271				
	Perceived Severity	0.07	0.07	0.337				
	Perceived Benefits	0.11	0.08	0.143				
	Perceived Barriers	-0.11	0.08	0.151				
	Cues to Action	0.25	0.10	0.016*				
Concussion Reporting Intentions (F7.318=11.34, p<0.001, R ² =0.200)								
	Constant	3.81	0.62	0.000				
	Survey Administration Mode, Education Module	-0.12	0.10	0.219				
	Knowledge	0.00	0.08	0.991				
	Perceived Susceptibility	0.03	0.04	0.396				
	Perceived Severity	0.03	0.05	0.612				
	Perceived Benefits	0.12	0.05	0.018*				
	Perceived Barriers	-0.11	0.05	0.034*				
	Cues to Action	0.29	0.07	0.000*				

*Indicates significance at α <0.05 level. Note: The reference category for survey administration mode was short message service.

Criterion Predictor Unstandardize Standard Exp(B) P- Variables d Error Error Value Symptom Reporting Behavior (X ² =5.51, p=0.138, Nagelkerke R ² =0.096) 0.328 Constant -2.74 2.80 0.07 Perceived -0.15 0.26 0.86 0.564 Benefits - 1.76 0.035 ⁶ 0.542 Cues to Action 0.23 0.38 1.26 0.542	Table 2. Health Belief Model multivariable enter logistic regression analysis									
Variables Variables d Error Value Symptom Reporting Behavior (X ² =5.51, p=0.138, Nagelkerke R ² =0.096) Constant -2.74 2.80 0.07 0.328 Perceived -0.15 0.26 0.86 0.564 Benefits Perceived 0.0328 0.0328 0.0328 0.0328 0.0328 0.0328 0.0328 0.0328 0.0328 0.0355 0.0315 0.036 0.564 0.0315 0.0328 0.0328 0.0355 0.0328 0.0328 0.0355 0.542 0.0328 0.042 0	results for symptom and concussion reporting behavior.									
Beta Output Symptom Reporting Behavior (X ² =5.51, p=0.138, Nagelkerke R ² =0.096) 0.328 Constant -2.74 2.80 0.07 Perceived -0.15 0.26 0.66 0.564 Benefits - - 1.76 0.0328 Cues to Action 0.23 0.38 1.26 0.542 Concussion Reporting Behavior (X ² =5.20, p=0.157, Nagelkerke R ² =0.159) - - -	Criterion	Predictor	Unstandardize	Standard	Exp(B)	P-				
Symptom Reporting Behavior (x ² =5.51, p=0.138, Nagelkerke R ² =0.096) 0.328 Constant -2.74 2.80 0.07 Perceived -0.15 0.26 0.86 0.564 Benefits Perceived 0.56 0.27 1.76 0.035 ⁶ Cues to Action 0.23 0.38 1.26 0.542 Concussion Reporting Behavior (x ² =5.20, p=0.157, Nagelkerke R ² =0.159) 0.542 0.542	Variables	Variables	d	Error		Value				
Constant -2.74 2.80 0.77 Perceived -0.15 0.26 0.86 0.564 Benefits										
0.07 Perceived -0.15 0.26 0.86 0.564 Benefits - - 0.07 0.035 Perceived 0.56 0.27 1.76 0.035 ⁶ Barriers - 0.23 0.38 1.26 0.542 Concussion Reporting Behavior (X ² =5.20, p=0.157, Nagelkerke R ² =0.159) - - -	Symptom Reporting Behavior (X ² =5.51, p=0.138, Nagelkerke R ² =0.096)									
Perceived -0.15 0.26 0.86 0.564 Benefits		Constant	-2.74	2.80		0.328				
Benefits 0.035 Perceived 0.56 0.27 1.76 Barriers 1.76 0.035 0.21 Cues to Action 0.23 0.38 1.26 0.542 Concussion Reporting Behavior (X ² =5.20, p=0.157, Nagelkerke R ² =0.159) 1.26 1.26 1.26										
Perceived 0.56 0.27 1.76 0.035^{\circ} Barriers Cues to Action 0.23 0.38 1.26 0.542 Concussion Reporting Behavior (X ² =5.20, p=0.157, Nagelkerke R ² =0.159) 0.542 0.542 0.542		Perceived	-0.15	0.26	0.86	0.564				
Barriers 1.76 Cues to Action 0.23 0.38 1.26 0.542 Concussion Reporting Behavior (X ² =5.20, p=0.157, Nagelkerke R ² =0.159) 1.26 0.542		Benefits								
Deliters Deliters Cues to Action 0.23 0.38 1.26 0.542 Concussion Reporting Behavior (X ² =5.20, p=0.157, Nagelkerke R ² =0.159) 1.26 0.542		Perceived	0.56	0.27		0.035				
Concussion Reporting Behavior (X ² =5.20, p=0.157, Nagelkerke R ² =0.159)		Barriers			1.76					
						0.542				
Constant -10.70 5.20 0.00 0.040	Concussion Reporting Behavior (X ² =5.20, p=0.157, Nagelkerke R ² =0.159)									
		Constant	-10.70	5.20	0.00	0.040				
Perceived -0.16 0.39 0.85 0.676		Perceived	-0.16	0.39	0.85	0.676				
Benefits		Benefits								
Perceived 0.44 0.38 1.55 0.253		Perceived	0.44	0.38	1.55	0.253				
Barriers		Barriers								
Cues to Action 1.55 0.75 4.71 0.038 [^]		Cues to Action	1.55	0.75	4.71	0.038^				

Indicates significance at a<0.05 level. Indicates individual predictor significance, however overall model was not significant. Note: Only significant predictors variables of reporting intentions were included in the models to prioritize variables.

Conclusions

- Cues to action, or a "trigger" to perform action was a predictor of concussion reporting intentions and behavior.
- To increase concussion reporting, clinicians should: 1) limit perceived barriers such dispelling that reporting a concussion would let the student-athlete's teammates down

2) increase perceived benefits such as positive view towards longterm health, but most importantly

 encourage stakeholders to cue student-athletes to act (i.e., discuss with a health care professional) if faced with a suspected concussion.

 Future research should examine if the HBM predicts concussion reporting behavior in other populations such as pediatric or professional athletes.

References

- Register-Mihalik J, Linnan LA, Marshall SW, McLeod TCV, Mueller FO, Guskiewicz KM. Using theory to understand high school aged athletes' interloans to report sport-related concussion: Implications for concussion education initiatives. *Brain Inf.* 2013;27(78):878-86.
 Chrisman SP, Guldigut C, Rivara FP. Original article: Qualitative study of barriers to concussive symptom
- Chrisman SP, Quiliquit C, Rivara FP. Original article: Qualitative study of barriers to concussive symptom reporting in high school athletics. J Adolesc Health. 2015;52:330-5.e3.
 Kroshus E, Baugh CM. Daneshvar DH, Viswanaht K. Understanding concussion reporting using a model based
- Kroshus E, Baugh CM, Daneshvar DH, Viswanath K. Understanding concussion reporting using a model bas on the theory of planned behavior. J Adolesc Health. 2014;54(3):269-74.
 Rosenstock IM. Historical origins of the health bellef model. Health Educ Monogr. 1974;2(4):328-35
- Rosenstock IM. Historical origins of the health belief model. Health Educ Monogr. 1974;2(4):328-35

For questions regarding this research, email michelleweber@atsu.edu